54447 Jefferson, Thomas, pres. U.S., 1743-1826.

Republican Notes on Religion.

CSmH copy.

Danbury, Rowe, 1803. 11 pp.

REPUBLICAN NOTES

ON

RELIGION;

AND,

AN ACT ESTAPLISHING RELIGIOUS FREE-DOM, passed in the ASSEMBLY of VIRGINIA, IN THE YEAR 1786.

BY THOMAS JEFFERSON, ESQUIRE, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.



DANBURY:
PRINTED BY THOMAS ROWE.
1803.



REPUBLICAN NOTES, &c.

THE first settlers in this country were emigrants from England, of the English church, just at a point of time when it was flushed with complete victory over the religious of all other persuations. Possone as they became, of the powers of making, admit itering, and executing the laws, they shewed equal intolerance in this country with their Presbyterian brethren, who had emigrated to the northern government. The poor Quakers were flying from perfecttion in England. They cuft their eyes on thefe new countries as asviums of civil and religious freedom; but they found them free only for the reigning lest. --Several acts of the Virginia affembly of 1659, 1662 and 1693, had made it penal in parents to result to have their children bantized; had prohibited the unlawful affembling of Quakers: had made it penal for any master of a vessel to bring a Quaker into the state; had ordered those already here, and such as should come hereafter, to be imprisoned till they should abjure the country; provided a milder punishment for their first and second return, but death for their third; had inhibited all persons from suffering their meetings in or near their houses, entertaining them individually, or disposing of books which supported their ten ts. If no execution took place here, as did in New-Eagland, it was not owing to the moderation of the church, or spirit of the legislature, as may be inferred from the law itself; but to historical circumstances, which have not been handed down to us. The Anglicans retained full possession of the country about a century. Other opinions began then to creep in, and the great care of the government to support their own church, having begotten an equal degree of indolence in its clergy, two-thirds of the people had become diffenters at the commencement of the present revolution. The laws indeed were still oppressive on them, but the spirit of the one party had subsided into moderation, and of the other had risen to a degree of determination which commanded respect.

The present state of our laws on the subject of religion is this. The convention of May 1776, in their declaration of rights, declared it to be a truth, and a natural right, that the exercise of religion should be free; but when they proceeded to form on that declaration the ordinance of government, instead of taking up every principle declared in the bill of rights, and guarding it by legislative sandion, they passed over that which afferted our religious rights, leaving them as they found them. The same convention, however, when they met as a member of the general assembly in October, 1776, repealed all acts of parliament which had rendered criminal the maintaining any opinions in matters of religion, the forbearing to repair to church, and the exercifing any mode of worship; and suspending the laws giving falaries to the clergy, which suspension was made perpetual in October 1779. Statutory oppressions being thus wiped away, we remain at present under those only imposed by the common law, or by our own acts of affembly. At the common law, berefy was a capital

offence, punishable by burning. Its definition was left to the ecclefialtical judges, before whom the conviction was, will the flatute of the I El. c. I. circumferibed it, by declaring, that nothing should be deemed herefy, but what had been fo determined by authority of the canonical scriptures, or by one of the four first general councils, or by some other council having for the grounds of their declaration the express and plain words of the scriptures. Herefy, thus circumsgribed, being an offence at the common law, our act of affembly of Ostober, 1777. c. 17. gives cognifance of it to the general court, by declaring that the jurifdiction of that court thall be general in all matters at the common law. The execution is by the writ De hoeretico cumburendo. By our act of affembly of 1705, c. 30. if a perfon brought up in the Christian religion denies the being of a God, or the Trinity, or afferts there are more gods than one, or denies the christian religion to be true, or the scriptures to be of divine authority, he is punithable on the first offence by incapacity to hold any office or omployment ecclefiastical, civil, or military; on the fecond by difability to fue, to take any gift or legacy, to be guardian, executor, or administrator, and by three years imprisonment without bail. A father's right to the custody of his own children being founded in law on his right of guardianthip, this being taken away, they may of course be severed from him, and put by the authority of the court, into more orthodox hands. This is a summary view of that religious flavery, under which a people have been willing to remain, who have lavished their lives and fortunes for the establishment of their civil freedom. +-

A 2

^{*} This pious paragraph is still a Connecticut law.--

The error feems not sufficiently eradicated, that the operations of the mind, as well as the acts of the body, are subject to the coercion of the laws. But our rulers can have no authority over such natural rights only as we have submitted to them. The rights of conscience we never submitted, we could not submit. We are answerable for them to our God. The legitimate powers of government extend to fuch acts only as are injurious to others. But it does me no injury for my neighbor to say there are twenty Gods, or no It neither picks my pocket nor breaks my leg. If it be said, his testimony in a court of justice cannot be relied on, reject it then, and be the stigma on him, Constraint may make him worse by making him a hypocrite, but it will never make him a truer man. may fix him obstinately in his errors, but will not cure Reason and free inquiry are the only esfectual agents against error. Give a loofe to them, they will support the true religion, by bringing every talfe one to their tribunal, to the test of their investigation. They are the natural enemies of error, and of error only. Had not the Roman government permitted free inquiry, Christianity could never have been introduced. Had not free inquiry been indulged at the æra of reformation, the corruptions of Christianity could not have been purged away. If it be restrained now, the present corruptions will be protected and new ones encouraged. Was the government to prescribe to us our medicine and diet, our bodies would be in fuch keeping as our fouls are now. Thus in France the emetic was once forbidden as a medicine, and the potatoe as an article of food. Government is just as infallible too when it fixes systems in physics. leo was fent to the inquisition for affirming that the earth was a sphere: the government had declared it to be as flat as a trencher, and Galileo was obliged to

abjure his error. This error however at length prevailed, the earth became a globe, and Descartes declared it was whirled round its axis by a vortex. The government in which he lived was wife enough to fee that this was no question of civil jurisdiction, or we should all have been involved by authority in vortices. In fact, the vortices have been explored, and the Newtonian principle of gravitation is now more firmly established, on the basis of reason, than it would be were the government to step in, and make it an article of necessary faith. Reason and experiment have been indulged, and error has fled before It is error alone which needs the support of government. Truth can stand by itself. opinion to coercion: whom will you make your inquisitors? Fallible men; men governed by bad passions, by private as well as public reasons. subject it to coercion? To produce uniformity. is uniformity of opinion defirable? No more than of face and stature. Introduce the bed of Procrustes then, and as there is danger that the great men may beat the small, make us all of a fize, by lopping the former and stretching the latter. Difference of opinion is advantageous in religion. The feveral fects perform the office of a cenfor morum over each other. Is uniformity attainable? Millions of innocent men. women, and children, fince the introduction of Christiarity, have been burnt, tortured, fined, imprisoned; yet we have not advanced one inch toward uniformity. What has been the effect of coercion? to make one half the world fools, and the other half hypocrites. To support roguery and error all over the earth. us reflect that it is inhabited by a thousand millions of people. That these profess probably a thousand different systems of religion. That ours is but one of that thousand. That if there be but one right, and

ours that one, we should wish to see the 999 wandering ficks gathered into the fold of truth. But against fuch a majority we cannot effect this by force. Reafor and perfuefion are the only practicable inftruments. To reake away for thefe, free inquiry must be indulged; how can we with others to indulge it while we refule it ourselves. But every state, says an inquificor, has established some religion. fay I, have established the fame. Is this a proof of the infallibinity of ellab elliments? Our filler states of Pennsylvania and Now-York, however, have long subsisted without any chabithment a all. periment was new and doubtful when they made it. It has answered beyond conception. They flourish infinitely. Raigion is well supported; of various kinds, indeed, but all good enough; all fufficient to preserve peace and order: or if a side arises, whose tenets would subvert morals, good sense has fair play, and reasons and laughs it out of doors, without suffering the state to be troubled with it. They do not hang more molefactors than we do. They are not more disturbed with religious dissensions than we are. On the contrary, their harmony is unparalleled, and can be afcribed to nothing but their unbounded tolerance, because their is no other circumstance in which they differ from every nation on earth. They have made the happy discovery, that the way to filence religious disputes, is to take no notice of them. Let us too give this experiment fair play, and get rid, while we may, of those tyrannical laws. It is true, we are as yet focured against them by the spirit of the times I doubt whether the people of this country would suffer an execution for heresy, or a three years imprisonment for not comprehending the mysteries of the Trinity. But is the spirit of the people an infalliable, a permanent reliance? Is it government?

Is this the kind of protection we receive in return for the rights we give up? Belides, the spirit of the times may alter, will alter. Our rulers will become corrupt, our people careless. A fingle zealot may commence perfecutor, and better men be his victims. can never be too often repeated, that the time for fixing every effential right on a legal basis is while our rulers are honest, and ourselves united. From the conclusion of this war we shall be going down hill.— It will not then be necessary to refort every moment to the people for support. They will be forgotten, therefore, and their rights difregarded. They will torget themselves, but in the sole faculty of making money, and will never think of uniting to effect a due respect for their rights. The shackles, therefore, which shall not be nocked off at the conclusion of this war, will remain on us long, will be made heavier and heavier, till our rights shall revive or expire in a convulsion.

An ACT for establishing RELIGIOUS FREEDOM, passed in the Assembly of Virginia, in the beginning of the Year 1786.

the mind free; that all attempts to influence it by temporal punishments or burdens, or by civil incapacitations, tend only to beget habits of hypocrisy and meanness, and are a departure from the plan of the Holy Author of our religion, who being Lord both of body and mind, yet chose not to propagate it by coercions on either, as was in his Almighty power to do; that the impious presumption of legislators and rulers, civil as well as ecclesiastical, who, being themselves but fallible and uninspired men, have as-

sumed dominion over the faith of others, setting up their own opinions and modes of thinking as the only true and infallible, and as such endeavoring to impose them on others, hath established and maintained false religions over the greatest part of the world, and through all time; that to compel a man to furnish contributions of money for the propagation of opinions which he disbelieves, is sinful and tyrannical; that even the forcing of him to support this or that teacher of his own religious persuasion, is depriving him of the comfortable liberty of giving his contributions to the particular pastor whose morals he would make his pattern, and whose powers he feels most persuasive to righteousness; and is withdrawing from the ministry those temporal rewards, that proceeding from an approbation of their personal conduct, are an additional incitement to earnest & unremitting labors for the instruction of mankind; that our civil rights have no dependance on our religious opinions, more than our opinions, in physics or geometry; that therefore the proscribing any citizen as unworthy the public confidence by laying upon him an incapacity of being called to offices of trust and emolument, unless he profess or renounce this or that religious opinion, is depriving him injuriously of those privileges and advantages to which in common with his fellow citizens he has a natural right; that it tends also to corrupt the principles of that very religion it is meant to encourage, by bribing, with a monopoly of worldly honors and emoluments, those who will externally profess and conform to it; that though indeed these are criminal who do not withstand such temptation, yet neither are those innocent who lay the bait in their way; that to suffer the civil magistrate to intrude his powers into the field of opinion and to restrain the profession or propagation of principles, on supposition of their ill

tendency, is a dangerous fallacy, which at once destroys all religious liberty, because he being of course judge of that tendency, will make his opinions the rule of judgment, and approve or condemn the sentiments of others only as they shall square with or differ from his own; that it is time enough for the rightful purposes of civil government, for its efficers to interfere when principles break out into overt acts against peace and good order; and finally, that truth is great and will prevail if left to herself, that she is the proper and sufficient antagonist to error, and has nothing to fear from the conflict, unless by human interposition disarmed of her natural weapons, free argument and debate, errors ceasing to be dangerous when it is permitted freely to contradict them.

Be it therefore enacted by the General Assembly, That no man shall be compelled to frequent or support any religious worship, place or ministry whatsoever, nor shall be enforced, restrained, molested, or burthened in his body or goods, nor shall otherwise suffer on account of his religious opinions or belief; but that all men shall be free to profess, and by argument to maintain, their opinions in matters of religion and that the same shall in no wise diminish,

enlarge, effect their civil capacities.

And though we well know that this assembly, elected by the people for the ordinary purposes of legislatton only, have no power to restrain the acts of succeeding assemblies, constituted with powers equal to our own, and that therefore to declare this act irrevocable, would be of no effect in law, yet we are free to declare, and do declare, that the rights hereby asserted are of the natural right of mankind, and that if any act shall be hereafter passed to repeal the present or narrow its operation, such act will be an infringment of natural right.